### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

REC'D 2 3 NOV 2005

WIPO PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
645							
International application No. PCT/DK2004/000875	International filing date (da 16.12.2004	ny/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.12.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n A61K31/404, A61P25/28	ational classification and IPC						
Applicant LEO PHARMA A/S et al							
This report is the international property under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination rep insmitted to the applicant	ort, established by this according to Article 36	s International Preliminary Examining S.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising	:					
a. ☐ sent to the applicant and	to the International Burea	u) a total of sheets, a	s follows:				
and/or sheets contair	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the							
Supplemental Box.  b.   (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
Box Relating to Sequence	e Listing (see Section 802	of the Administrative	Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following ite	ems:					
☐ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion						
☐ Box No. II Priority							
☑ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention						
applicability;	☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
☐ Box No. VI Certain docur							
	ts in the international appl						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obse	vations on the internation	al application					
		Date of completion of t	his report				
Date of submission of the demand							
25.08.2005		22.11.2005					
Name and mailing address of the internal preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	general Polantam.				
European Patent Office - F NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pay Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx	's Has	Bonzano, C	Stan Pathilly				
Fax: +31 70 340 - 2040 1X	.07.00.000	Telephone No. +31 70	) 340-				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

The contraction of the second second

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000875

		No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With filed	h regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was d, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		which i	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
		□ pub	rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) Prnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	hau	n haan	d to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this priginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>		
	Des	scription	n, Pages		
	1-1-	45	as originally filed		
	Cla	ims, Nu	mbers		
	1-5	2	as originally filed		
Drawings, Sheets		awings,	Sheets		
	1/7	-7/7	as originally filed		
		a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3	. 🗆	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			e description, pages e claims, Nos.		
		☐ th	e drawings, sheets/figs		
		⊔ tn □ ar	e sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :  ny table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
4	. 🏻 ha Sı	ad not b	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		□ th	e description, pages e claims, Nos.		
		☐ th	e drawings, sheets/figs		
		□а	ne sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : ny table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
	*	τ <i>f</i> : -	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

The second secon

· Marie Transport Congression of

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000875

· encoughing

		No. III Non-establishment of licability	opin	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
1.	The obv	questions whether the claimed i ious), or to be industrially applica	nvent ıble h	ion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ave not been examined in respect of:	
		the entire international application	on,		
	$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 32-62			
		because:			
	⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 32-62 with regard to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
		see separate sheet			
		that no meaningful opinion coul	on, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear ningful opinion could be formed (specify): or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion med.		
		the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.			
		no international search report h			
			and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex		
		the written form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
		·		does not comply with the standard	
		the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical r	otide : equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
		See separate sheet for further	· deta	ils	

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000875

CECOMO CONTRACTOR OF THE METALLINE

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-62

No:

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims Claims

1-62

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-31

Claims No:

32-62

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000875

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The subject matter of claims 32-62 concerns a method of treatment of the human/animal body which is considered by this Autorithy to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1 (IV) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of this claim (Article 34(4) (a)(I)PCT).

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 96/40116 A (SUGEN, INC) 19 December 1996 (1996-12-19) cited in the application

D2: WO 03/002107 A (AB SCIENCE; MOUSSY, ALAIN; KINET, JEAN-PIERRE) 9 January 2003 (2003-01-09)

2. For the assessment of the present claims 32-62 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

#### Inventive step

3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claims 1-62 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT. Document D2, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses the utility of tyrosine kinase inhibitors for treating multiple sclerosis. The subject-matter of claims 1-62 differs from the disclosure of D2 in that the compounds used for the same purpose are the indolinone derivatives of formula I. The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as finding an alternative treatment of multiple sclerosis. D1 discloses the compounds of the present invention as known tyrosine kinase inhibitors

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000875

useful in therapy, for example for treating a number of diseases such as neurodegenerative diseases, which are diseases related to abnormalities in receptor tyrosin kinase.

Therefore, being aware that tyrosine kinase inhibitors are useful for treating and preventing multiple sclerosis, and knowing that the compounds of formula 1 are tyrosine kinase inhibitors, the person skilled in the art would have been inevitably led to use the indolinone derivatives of formula 1 of the present invention for treating the claimed disorder. Due to their well known tyrosine kinase inhibitor activity, the skilled person would have expected for the compounds of formula 1 the same effect on multiple sclerosis as the tyrosine kinase inhibitors described in document D2.